**TML Assignment 1**

**Membership Inference Attack (MIA) on Image Classification Models**

**🔖 Problem Description**

Membership inference attacks (MIAs) attempt to determine whether a specific data point was used in training a machine learning model. This is a critical privacy concern, especially in applications involving sensitive data. In this assignment, we perform an MIA against a trained ResNet-18 model provided as a black-box (file: 01\_MIA.pt).

The attacker is provided with:

* A pretrained ResNet-18 model (01\_MIA.pt)
* A labeled public dataset (pub.pt) containing images, class labels, and membership status
* A private dataset (priv\_out.pt) with images and class labels but unknown membership

The task is to assign a **membership confidence score** to each sample in the private dataset, indicating how likely it is that the sample was used during the training of the target model. The final output is a file test\_final.csv or equivalent.

**🤔 Approach Overview**

We implemented and compared 3 different attack strategies, both based on extracting model behavior statistics from softmax predictions:

**▶ Code 1: multi\_mia.py**

* **Feature extraction**: For each image, the following features were computed from the target model output:
  + Cross-entropy loss
  + Maximum softmax confidence
  + Entropy of the softmax vector
  + Margin between top-1 and top-2 predictions
* **Classifier**: A RandomForestClassifier with 100 trees and max depth 5
* **Training**: The classifier was trained on features from pub.pt using the known membership labels
* **Inference**: Applied to features extracted from priv\_out.pt, resulting in membership scores

**▶ Code 2: kde\_hybrid.py**

* **Extended features**: Added logit\_std (standard deviation of logits) and logit\_max (maximum logit value) to the above set
* **Likelihood modeling**: Fitted two Kernel Density Estimators (KDEs) on member and non-member samples to compute a log-likelihood ratio (LiRA-style approximation)
* **Ensemble strategy**: Combined the RF classifier output and KDE score using Min-Max normalization and averaged them
* **Calibration**: Applied quantile-based score stretching to sharpen score distribution for better separation

**▶ Code 3: lira\_kde.py (LiRA-style KDE Attack)**  
This method is based on the Likelihood Ratio Attack (LiRA) proposed by Carlini et al. (2022).

* **Shadow Model Training**: We trained 5 shadow models on disjoint subsets of pub.pt, simulating IN (member) and OUT (non-member) behavior using an 80/20 train-test split.
* **Feature Extraction**: Each shadow model extracted 6 statistics per sample:
  + Cross-entropy loss
  + Softmax confidence
  + Entropy
  + Margin between top-1 and top-2 predictions
  + Logit standard deviation
  + Max logit value
* **Density Estimation**: We fit separate KDEs on standardized member and non-member features, using GridSearchCV to optimize bandwidth.
* **Scoring**: We computed the log-likelihood ratio log(P\_in(x) / P\_out(x)) and normalized the result via MinMax scaling.
* This approach directly applies the principles of LiRA for calibrated, distribution-aware membership prediction.

**📊 Results**

| **Method** | **TPR@FPR=0.05** | **AUC** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **multi\_mia.py** | **0.0707** | **0.6440** |
| **kde\_hybrid.py** | **0.0707** | **0.6407** |
| **lira\_kde.py** | **0.0XXX** | **0.XXX** |

Both methods achieved similar performance on the public leaderboard. We selected the hybrid approach (kde\_hybrid.py) for final submission due to its better score calibration and generalization potential.

**📂 Key Files**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **File Name** | **Purpose** |
| multi\_mia.py | Feature-based RF attack pipeline |
| kde\_hybrid.py | KDE + RF ensemble attack with quantile stretching |
| lira\_kde.py | Multivariate KDE-based shadow attack |
| 01\_MIA.pt | Target model |
| pub.pt | Public dataset with membership labels |
| priv\_out.pt | Private dataset with unknown membership |
| test\_multi\_mia.csv | Output from multi MIA attack |
| test\_lira\_kde.csv | Output from final LiRA KDE attack |
| test\_kde\_hybrid.csv | Output from KDE Hybrid attack |

**🚀 Conclusion**

This assignment demonstrates that even black-box models with no access to internal parameters can be vulnerable to privacy attacks. By exploiting model confidence behaviors and combining statistical and ensemble techniques, we were able to infer training membership with a true positive rate of 7.07% at a 5% false positive threshold.

Additionally, we implemented a LiRA-style KDE approach using shadow models and per-sample likelihood modeling.

Our final submission reflects a well-calibrated and generalizable attack pipeline suitable for realistic privacy attack scenarios.
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